

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/936,688	12/28/2001	Thierry Brusseaux	09669.008001	9251	
22511	7590 01/1	/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER	
0.01	MAY L.L.P.	RUHL, DEN	RUHL, DENNIS WILLIAM		
	NNEY STREET , TX 77010		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3629		
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/200)5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ι.	
1.1	
l Ni	
I W	
1. 11	
IW'	
1 <i>1</i> 1	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	K
09/936,688	BRUSSEAUX, THIERRY	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dennis Ruhl	3629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

DENNIS RUHL PRIMARY EXAMINE	:R
10. Other:	2
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-17.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .	
Claim(s) objected to. <u>none.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and at explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	n
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were new raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	/ly
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	ce the
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amer canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ndment
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>none</u> .	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplify issues for appeal; and/or	ing the
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, simely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e extension action; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whicheve no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See No. 2009.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Contine Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	n
The malente Date of this communication appears on the cover sheet was the correspondence address -	-

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amended language added to the claim requires further consideration by the examiner. The scope of the claims is changed by the after final amendment..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments for patentability are based on the amendment which has been denied entry because it requires further consideration from the examiner..